

**CITY OF MCFARLAND
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025**



CPAs | CONSULTANTS | WEALTH ADVISORS

CLAcconnect.com

**CITY OF MCFARLAND
TABLE OF CONTENTS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025**

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	1
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM, REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE, AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE	3
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	7
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	8
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	10



**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED
IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of McFarland
McFarland, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of McFarland (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 26, 2025.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purposes described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

We identified a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2025-001 that we consider to be a material weakness.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The City of McFarland’s Response to Findings

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the City of McFarland’s response to the findings identified in our audit and described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The City of McFarland’s response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.



CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Roseville, California
December 26, 2025



**INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH
MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM, REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER
COMPLIANCE, AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES
OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council
City of McFarland
McFarland, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of McFarland, California's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2025. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2025.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the City's federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the City's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the City's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the City's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.


Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon, dated December 26, 2025, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Roseville, California
March 17, 2026

CITY OF MCFARLAND
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program/Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Total Federal Expenditures	Passed Through to Subrecipients
U.S. Department of Agriculture				
Direct Programs:				
Community Facilities Direct Loan and Grant Program	10.766	N/A	\$ 773,905	\$ -
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			773,905	-
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				
Direct Programs:				
Economic Development Initiative, Community Project Funding and Miscellaneous Grants	14.251	N/A	428,797	-
HOME Investment Partnership Program (Outstanding Loans)	14.239	N/A	709,906	-
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			1,138,703	-
U.S. Department of Justice				
Direct Programs:				
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710	N/A	15,866	-
Total U.S. Department of Justice			15,866	-
U.S. Department of Transportation				
Passed-Through the State Department of Transportation:				
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	5343-018	193,458	-
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	5343-020	284,359	-
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	H8-06-012	2,820	-
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			480,637	-
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u>\$ 2,409,111</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

See accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

CITY OF MCFARLAND
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
JUNE 30, 2025

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) presents the activity of all federal awards programs of the City of McFarland (the City) for the year ended June 30, 2025. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of 2 CFR Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the City.

NOTE 2 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance for all awards. Under these principles, certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Therefore, some amounts presented in the Schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements.

NOTE 3 ASSISTANCE LISTING NUMBERS

The program titles and Assistance Listing Numbers were obtained from the federal or pass-through grantor.

NOTE 4 INDIRECT COST RATE

The City did not elect to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate as covered in 2 CFR §200.414.

NOTE 5 PASS-THROUGH ENTITIES' IDENTIFYING NUMBER

When federal awards were received from a pass-through entity, the Schedule shows, if available, the identifying number assigned by the pass-through entity. When no identifying number is shown, the City determined that no identifying number is assigned for the program or the City was unable to obtain an identifying number from the pass-through entity.

CITY OF MCFARLAND
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
JUNE 30, 2025

NOTE 6 LOANS WITH CONTINUING COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The City offers property rehabilitation and first-time home buyer loans to eligible individuals as part of its HOME program. The loans have continuing compliance requirements and therefore the outstanding balances are reflected on the Schedule. No new loans were issued during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2025.

Assistance Listing Number	Federal Program	Outstanding Loans	Loans with Continuing Compliance Requirements
10.766	Community Facilities Direct Loan and Grant Program	\$ 773,905	\$ 773,905
14.239	Home Investment Partnership Program	709,906	709,906

**CITY OF MCFARLAND
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025**

Section I – Summary of Auditors’ Results

Financial Statements

1. Type of auditors’ report issued: Unmodified
2. Internal control over financial reporting:
- Material weakness(es) identified? x yes no
 - Significant deficiency(ies) identified? yes x no
3. Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? yes x no

Federal Awards

1. Internal control over major federal programs:
- Material weakness(es) identified? yes x no
 - Significant deficiency(ies) identified? yes x none reported
2. Type of auditors’ report issued on compliance for major federal programs: Unmodified
3. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? yes x no

Identification of Major Federal Programs

Assistance Listing Number(s)	Name of Federal Program or Cluster
10.766	Community Facilities Direct Loan and Grant Program
14.239	HOME Investment Partnership Program

- Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$ 750,000
- Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? yes x no

**CITY OF MCFARLAND
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025**

Section II – Financial Statement Findings

2025 – 001: Financial Statement Close Process

Type of Finding: Material Weakness in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Condition: As a result of audit procedures, several correcting audit entries and prior period adjustments were proposed.

Criteria or specific requirement: The City's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal controls for the proper recording of all the City's accounting transactions.

Effect: Prior to the audit adjustments being recorded, the City's financial statements were materially misstated. The City recorded the following adjustments after the performance of audit procedures:

Prior Period Adjustments:

- The City posted a \$35k adjustment to correct beginning net position and recognize a receivable for water utility services rendered, but not yet billed as of year-end.
- Due to a clerical error, the balance of beginning net position and capital assets in the governmental activities were adjusted by \$1,168k to remove amounts inadvertently added to the vehicles line item.
- The City recorded prior period adjustments in the Sewer, Refuse, and Water funds in the amounts of \$32k, \$101k, and \$56k to clear out stale accounts payable balances that should have been reversed in prior years.

Correcting audit adjustments:

- As a result of audit procedures, the City recorded an adjustment in the TDA fund in the amount of \$1,489k to increase unavailable revenue and decrease revenue for accounts receivable that was not received within the City's period of availability. The City also recorded a similar entry in the General Fund in the amount of \$645k.
- The balance of unavailable revenue in the CalHOME fund was adjusted by a net amount of \$56k to correct the balance to actual.

Cause: The City's controls over financials statement close did not operate as designed.

Repeat Finding: This is not a repeat finding

**CITY OF MCFARLAND
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025**

Section II – Financial Statement Findings - Continued

2025 – 001: Financial Statement Close Process – Continued

Recommendation: We recommend that the City implement a more robust system of review for workpapers over material account balances. Additionally, the City should implement additional procedures over the year-end accounts receivable process to ensure material items are recorded in the proper audit period. For example, Finance staff should establish timelines with other City departments to verify that all major project invoices/grant billings have been captured before closing out the year.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions: City management agrees with recommendations and has begun to implement additional controls over the year-end financial reporting process for the FY 2025/2026 audit.

**CITY OF MCFARLAND
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS (CONTINUED)
YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025**

Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Programs

Our audit did not disclose any matters required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a).



CLA (CliftonLarsonAllen LLP) is a network member of CLA Global. See CLAGlobal.com/disclaimer. Investment advisory services are offered through CliftonLarsonAllen Wealth Advisors, LLC, an SEC-registered investment advisor.